

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/784,286	Applicant(s) JEANVEAU, FLORENT	
	Examiner Shaun R. Hurley	Art Unit 3765	

All Participants:

(1) Shaun R. Hurley.

(2) Thomas E Kocovsky.

Status of Application: Non-Final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2010

Time: 4:10 pm est

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Of record

Claims discussed:

Of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Nelson

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicant to discuss ways to more clearly claim the invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)